IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
)
V.) CRIM. CASE NO. 1:21-cr-104-ECM
JUSTIN ANTHONY WELLS)

MEMORANDUM OPINION and ORDER

Now pending before the court is Defendant Justin Anthony Wells' motion to continue trial (doc. 45) filed on September 9, 2021. Jury selection and trial are presently set on the term of court commencing on October 4, 2021. For the reasons set forth below, the court will grant a continuance of the trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

While the trial judge enjoys great discretion when determining whether to grant a continuance, the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161; *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986). The Act provides in part:

"In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs."

18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1).

The Act excludes, however, certain delays from the seventy-day period, including delays based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. §

Case 1:21-cr-00104-ECM-SMD Document 48 Filed 09/13/21 Page 2 of 2

3161(h)(7)(A). In determining whether to grant a continuance under § 3161(h)(7), the

court "shall consider," among other factors, whether denial of a continuance would likely

"result in a miscarriage of justice," or "would deny counsel for the defendant . . . the

reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due

diligence." § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

Counsel for the Defendant represents to the Court that the Defendant is presently

undergoing a mental evaluation as there is reasonable cause to question the Defendant's

competency to stand trial. The issue of the Defendant's competency must be resolved

before proceeding. The United States does not oppose a continuance. After careful

consideration, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance of

this trial outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Thus,

for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motion to continue (doc. 45) is GRANTED, and jury selection

and trial are CONTINUED from October 4, 2021 to the criminal term of court set to

commence on January 4, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. in Montgomery, Alabama. All deadlines tied

to the trial date are adjusted accordingly.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall conduct a pretrial conference prior to the

January trial term.

Done this 10th day of September, 2021.

/s/Emily C. Marks

EMILY C. MARKS

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE